Section 3, Question 3.4 Comments

3.4 Optional: Add any comments you have about Parkwood Drive and Parkwood Court
Other than speed humps all proposed features are unnecessary, and don't have a rational reason to be considered. The concrete median is especially dangerous.
Re: 2' wide median or in-street pedestrian signs. Despite MCDOT's opinion, these seem very dangerous to me.
Drivers should remain attentive when they are operating motor vehicles. We do not need additional concrete to make that point. If this is a serious area of concern for pedestrian safety, then the MCPD needs to be out enforcing traffic regulations here until people get the message.
Not sure what is trying to be accomplished at this intersection. Just paint a crosswalk.
Minimally impactful to me, so more generally opposed to those items than in that specific location
Please do not make Parkwood Road more difficult to drive which is what these proposals will do. The data does not support that there is a problem at this or the other intersections.
Some drivers come flying down the hill from Parkwood Ct. towards Westbrook. I'm in favor of anything that could slow down those drivers.
I would defer to those residents living nearby this intersection but I do support measures to enhance safety for pedestrians
Why in street pedestrian signs but no crosswalk across Parkwood drive?
I think all of these proposed measures are completely unnecessary. Please stop wasting tax payer money and resources fixing problems that don’t exist. If you want to actually solve a problem make sure there are sidewalks on all streets in our neighborhood and open beach drive on the weekend if you’re that opposed to cars driving in the neighborhood.
This is not a dangerous intersection. Safety is of course a concern in the abstract, but here you're lessening everyone's quality of life by a factor greater than you're changing safety.
The majority of pedestrians cross Parkwood at this intersection your crosswalk is located in the completely wrong direction this is useless
I am strongly opposed to all the concrete "quieting" options, medians and curb extensions.
The quantity of cars turning into Parkwood Court is limited. Therefore, besides pedestrian sign, I do not think these other proposed items are warranted.
Do not feel strongly, but it seems like curb extensions could lead to collisions when turning right from Parkwood Court onto Parkwood Drive.
I support the speed hump and pedestrian safety improvements at Parkwood Court. The speed hump is particularly important for slowing vehicles approaching the residential stretch between Parkwood Court and Saul Road. I would encourage MCDOT to evaluate whether the proposed spacing between speed humps will maintain lower vehicle speeds throughout this section.
I live right here and this is critical to have - we can't even get into the car without getting honked at - people are very impatient and pass each other on this stretch; go full speed into the court for U turns and donuts

Don't narrow the already narrow street by adding a median. The median can pose a risk to drivers at night.
I have lived in Parkwood for 30 years and never seen an issue here.
I've never seen any problems here. People turn onto Parkwood Court either to park or to change direction, so are not going fast and are paying attention.
I support a continental crosswalk across the court, parallel to Parkwood Drive.
I could support the floating curb extensions with the exception of the one taking up the parking space directly in front of 10234 Parkwood Dr. I think the other curb extensions and concrete median can still be effective without that one because there are always many cars parked along the curb. And the largest ones still reduce crossing distance for pedestrians crossing the court.
Stop. Wasting. Money.
On all intersections without schools on one of the intersecting streets, I am in favor of adding crosswalks without otherwise impeding traffic.
A pedestrian-activated stoplight is a much better alternative.
same as above
Again, don’t think it’s a good idea to direct traffic joining Parkwood into oncoming traffic
As drawn, curb extensions appear unnecessarily large. I'd support smaller ones.
I am not quite sure about the concrete median. It is a wide opening at the court so that the floating curb extensions seem reasonable to shorten pedestrian crossing. Many cars and other vehicles turn around in the cul-de-sac so that it gets more traffic than one might expect from the number of houses .