**Section 3, Question 3.2 Comments**

| 3.2 Optional: Add any comments you have about the Parkwood Drive between Saul and Everett. |
|---|
| Good for slowing down downhill traffic approaching Saul Rd and a stop sign. |
| Speed humps are "all 4 or nothing", so I'm voting "no" here. |
| Speed Humps increase wear and tear on a car's suspension system. They are uncomfortable to drive over with more rigidly sprung cars. We do not need additional humps in the neighborhood. |
| This will help with speed down the hill |
| Please no more speed humps. See previous comments. |
| I live very close to Everett and Parkwood and do not see any need for speed bumps between Saul and Everett. I am in favor of painted lines near corners so that cars on Parkwood do not park too close to the Everett corner. When cars are too close to the corner, it makes turning left from Everett to Parkwood difficult because those cars block the sightline. |
| I'm not sure the signs are necessary, similar to the humps between Brookfield and Knowles. |
| Speed humps are not the answer for everything. There are already a ton of them |
| I would defer to those residents living nearby this intersection but I do support measures to enhance safety for pedestrians |
| I think all of these proposed measures are completely unnecessary. Please stop wasting tax payer money and resources fixing problems that don’t exist.<br>If you want to actually solve a problem make sure there are sidewalks on all streets in our neighborhood and open beach drive on the weekend if you’re that opposed to cars driving in the neighborhood. |
| Where is the report proving that this specific location has a statistically significant high rate of speed-related accidents? |
| STRONGLY support this. Cars fly down this section. |
| I don't think speeding is a problem on that part of Parkwood, and the bump would be annoying to drive over. |
| I support the proposed speed hump, particularly because children cross Parkwood Drive in this area. I would also encourage MCDOT to evaluate whether this hump, together with the proposed hump near Parkwood Court, will adequately reduce speeds throughout the intervening stretch of Parkwood Drive. My concern is that drivers may accelerate between the two humps. |
| Anything to have people consider their speed |
| You don't really need a speed hump in this section because the stop sign is so close, it is redundant. |
| Speed humps should never be constructed in a way that impedes/slows travel below the posted speed limit. |
| I believe speed humps are a much better solution to lowering vehicles speeds and alleviating any perception of vehicles speeding. |
| again, creating a problem<br>that does not exist |
| Stop. Wasting. Money. |